

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,037	07/13/2005	Betty Bird	sLighthouse-005	6747
51413 7590 06/25/2008 MARC E. HANKIN, ESQ. 11414 THURSTON CIRCLE			EXAMINER	
			RAJ, RAJIV J	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90049			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/542.037 BIRD ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit RAJIV J. RAJ 3626 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3626

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in reply to the application filed on 13 July 2005.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are currently pending and have been examined.

#### Priority

 Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 15 recites the limitation "additional factors". Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner takes the position that "additional factors" includes, but is not limited to the measured factors found in the prior art.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that
  are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are
  summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3626

Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

 Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- Claims 1-12, 21 & 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iliff (US 6234964 B1) (hereinafter Iliff) in view of Barry et al. (US 6188988 B1) (hereinafter Barry).
- 9. Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

### Claim 1

### lliff as shown, discloses the following limitations:

- identifying problems the consumer has by asking a first series of uniform questions related to the consumer's health; (see at least liff Fig:10 & related text)
- by asking a second series of uniform questions; (see at least liff Claim:6)

lliff does not disclose the following limitations, however Barry, as shown does:

- evaluating the major problems of the consumer, (see at least Barry Fig:1 Items:10, 11 & related text)
- to determine the recommended interventions for each of the problems identified, (see at least Barry Fig:1 Items:12, 13 & related text)
- generating at least one intervention recommendation based upon the consumer's answers to the second series of uniform questions; (see at least Barry Fig:1 Items:12, 13 & related text)
- conducting professional assessment(s) to identify recommended treatment for the consumer,
   (see at least Barry Column:8 Lines:1-10, Fig:1 Items:10 & related text)
- determining an assessment score for the problems identified, by asking a third series of uniform
  questions; and (see at least Barry Column:14 Table:5 & related text)

Art Unit: 3626

recording an incremental record of activity identifying the progress the consumer has made in

treating the identified problems (see at least Barry Column:3 Lines:41-45 "a user interface for monitoring a patient's condition during a particular therapeutic treatment regimen over a period of

time according to the present invention")

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of Barry into Iliff.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added these features into lliff with the motivation of

providing a more efficient and effective method for creating a personal care regimen that accurately

addresses a patient's needs, by incorporating the patient's input. (see at least Barry Column:3

.....

Lines:1-20)

The combination of lliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Iliff further discloses the

following limitation:

• the problems are related to vision impairment of the consumer (see at least Iliff Column:5 Lines:4-

10)

While Iliff does not specifically disclose "vision impairment", one of ordinary skill in the art would

understand that scope of lliff includes the broader field of health care problems, and "vision

impairment" is a subset of this broader filed of health care problems.

Claim 3

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Iliff further discloses the

following limitation:

· the first series of uniform questions determine if the consumer is in a crisis (see at least lliff

Fig:4A Item:306 & related text)

Claim 4

The combination of lliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Iliff further discloses the

following limitation:

• the first series of uniform questions determine if the consumer is in an urgent situation (see at

least Iliff Fig:4A Item:306 & related text)

Art Unit: 3626

Claim 5

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Iliff further discloses the

following limitation:

· the at least one intervention recommendations are selected from the group consisting of

psychotherapy, adjustment to vision losses, computer training, employment services, help with

living independently, social service, help with improving orientation and mobility and help with low

or poor vision vision (see at least lliff Fig:1 Items:92-124 & related text)

Claim 6

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Barry further discloses the

following limitation: an answer to a question in the second series of uniform questions triggers the at least one

intervention recommendations (see at least Barry Fig:1 Items:10-13 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature of Barry into Iliff.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Iliff with the motivation of providing a

more efficient and effective method for creating a personal care regimen that accurately addresses a

patient's needs, by incorporating the patient's input. (see at least Barry Column:3 Lines:1-20)

Claim 7

The combination of lliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Iliff further discloses the

following limitation:

the step of scheduling an appointment for the consumer for a provider to assess the problems

identified by the second series of uniform questions (see at least Iliff Claims 16 & 50)

Claim 8

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Barry further discloses the

following limitation:

the second series of uniform questions are informational, consumer and provider rated questions

(see at least Barry Fig:2 Items:21-29 & related text)

Art Unit: 3626

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature of Barry into Iliff.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Iliff with the motivation of providing a
more efficient and effective method for creating a personal care regimen that accurately addresses a
patient's needs, by incorporating the patient's input. (see at least Barry Column:3 Lines:1-20)

Claim 9

The combination of lliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 8. Iliff further discloses the following limitation:

the assessment score for each of the identified problems are utilized to determine at least one
treatment plan for the consumer to address the consumer's problems and improve function (see
at least Barry Column:11 Lines:65-67 Column:12 Lines:1-6 & Table 4)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature of Barry into lliff.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into lliff with the motivation of providing a more efficient and effective method for creating a personal care regimen that accurately addresses a patient's needs, by incorporating the patient's input. (see at least Barry Column:3 Lines:1-20)

Claim 10

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 9. Iliff further discloses the following limitation:

 the treatment plan to address the consumer's problems and improve function is a set of goals to achieve in a set time frame (see at least liff Column:23 Lines:10-29)

Claim 11

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 10. Iliff further discloses the following limitation:

 the goals are selected from a group consisting of sighted guide, indoor travel, ability to use emergency exit, orientation skills, stair usage, and local travel (see at least llift Claims 16 & 50)

liff does not specifically disclose "sighted guide, indoor travel, ability to use emergency exit, orientation skills, stair usage, and local travel", however Examiner takes Official Notice that these limitations are a subset of basic health related issues addressed in the medical field and therefore

Art Unit: 3626

"sighted guide, indoor travel, ability to use emergency exit, orientation skills, stair usage, and local travel" are limitations that are old and well-known in the medical arts. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as the time of the invention, to add these limitations to liff because of the need to achieve the goals of patient well-being and reduce costly medical intervention.

booldoo of the flood to define to the goals of patient wan boing and fooder coolly medical mentante

(see at least Iliff Column:5 Lines:55-60)

Claim 12

The combination of lifff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 10. Iliff further discloses the following limitation:

 the step of scheduling an appointment to begin the treatment plan (see at least lliff Fig:18 ltems:956, 972 & related text)

Claim 21

lliff as shown, discloses the following limitations:

 identifying a consumer's most serious problem by asking a first series of uniform questions; (see at least liff Fio:10 & related text)

 initiating a triage process by asking a second series of uniform questions; (see at least Iliff Claim:6)

scheduling appointments for the consumer to assess the severity of the consumer's problems to
determine a treatment plan to complete the at least one intervention recommendation; (see at
least liff Claims:16 & 50)

lliff does not disclose the following limitations, however Barry, as shown does:

 evaluating the major problems of the consumer, (see at least Barry Fig:1 Items:10, 11 & related text)

 to determine the recommended interventions for each of the problems identified, (see at least Barry Fig:1 Items:12, 13 & related text)

 generating at least one intervention recommendation based upon the consumer's answers to the second series of uniform questions; (see at least Barry Fig:1 Items:12, 13 & related text)

Art Unit: 3626

conducting professional assessment(s) to identify recommended treatment for the consumer,

(see at least Barry Column:8 Lines:1-10, Fig:1 Items:10 & related text)

determining an assessment score for the problems identified, by asking a third series of uniform

questions; and (see at least Barry Column:14 Table:5 & related text)

generating an incremental record of activity recording the progress the consumer has made

during the appointment (see at least Barry Column:3 Lines:41-45)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of Barry into Iliff.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added these features into Iliff with the motivation of

providing a more efficient and effective method for creating a personal care regimen that accurately

addresses a patient's needs, by incorporating the patient's input. (see at least Barry Column:3

Lines:1-20)

following limitation:

Claim 23

The combination of lliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 21. Iliff further discloses the

• wherein the consumer is visually impaired (see at least Iliff Column:5 Lines:4-10)

While Iliff does not specifically disclose "visually impaired", one of ordinary skill in the art would

understand that scope of lliff includes the broader field of health care problems, and "visually

impaired" is a subset of this broader filed of health care problems.

10. Claims 13,14,16 & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iliff in view of

Barry in further view of Ahmed (US 2002/0107824 A1) (hereinafter Ahmed).

Claim 13

The combination of lliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Iliff further discloses the

following limitation:

· converted to a one hundred point scale (see at least Iliff Fig:9 Item:562 & related text)

lliff does not disclose the following limitations, however Ahmed, as shown does:

the assessment score is the average of the sum of a consumer self assessment score, a provider

rating score, a standardize test score, a learning strategy score and an additional factors score

Art Unit: 3626

(see at least Ahmed [0072] "all queries and corresponding diagnosis are summed and averaged by the number of queries answered or activated by any change in state or any positive degree of response other than the default response of the query which is a null state (e.g., a response of "no", but is not limited to that domain and the default state can be qualified or quantified in an unlimited way). The average values, representing the ranking values of the alternatives, indicate the most likely diagnoses. Typically, the four most likely diagnoses is optionally available to the user. Typically an average accuracy of the system of about %98 is embodied in the four most-likely diagnoses.")

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature into Iliff. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Iliff with the motivation of providing a more accurate method for monitoring patients' condition, so as design a more effective treatment plan for said patients. (see at least Ahmed [0066] & [0067])

Claim 14

The combination of liff/Barry/Ahmed disclose all of the limitations of claim 13. Barry further discloses the following limitation:

 the assessment score determines a level of care associated with each of the interventions (see at least Barry Fig.5, 6 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature of Barry into liff/Barry/Ahmed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into liff/Barry/Ahmed with the motivation of providing a more efficient and effective method for creating a personal care regimen that accurately addresses a patient's needs, by incorporating the patient's input. (see at least Barry Column;3 Lines:1-20)

Claim 16

The combination of lift/Barry/Ahmed disclose all of the limitations of claim 13. Iliff further discloses the following limitations:

 a post assessment score is determined to evaluate the progress of the consumer (see at least liff Column:12 Lines:49-67)

Art Unit: 3626

by comparing the post assessment score with the assessment score (see at least liff Claim:6
 "automatically comparing the health state changes against disease specific changes for the

medical disease")

Claim 20

The combination of Iliff/Barry/Ahmed disclose all of the limitations of claim 16. Barry further

discloses the following limitation:

data is collected from multiple consumers to produce a cost/benefit analysis to determine if the

treatment of consumer's problems reduce health care costs (see at least Barry Column:6

Table:2, Fig:2 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature of Barry into

lliff/Barry/Ahmed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into lliff/Barry/Ahmed

with the motivation of providing a more efficient and effective method for creating a personal care

regimen that accurately addresses a patient's needs, by incorporating the patient's input. (see at

least Barry Column:3 Lines:1-20)

11. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iliff in view of Barry in view

of Ahmed in view of Marchosky (US 2002/0029157 A1) (hereinafter Marchosky) in view of Martin et

al. (US 2002/0004725 A1) (hereinafter Martin) in further view of Kehr et al. (US 2003/0036683 A1)

(hereinafter Kehr).

Claim 15

The combination of Iliff/Barry/Ahmed disclose all of the limitations of claim 13. Ahmed further

discloses the following limitation:

wherein the learning strategy score is the sum of a provider determined value in the learning

strategy areas of visual, visual/tactual/auditory tactual/auditory, visual/tactual and tactual; (see at

least Ahmed [0072])

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature into

lliff/Barry/Ahmed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into lliff/Barry/Ahmed

Art Unit: 3626

with the motivation of providing a more accurate method for monitoring patients' condition, so as design a more effective treatment plan for said patients. (see at least Ahmed [0066] & [0067])

Ahmed does not disclose the following limitations, however Marchosky, as shown does:

the consumer self assessment score is the sum of the consumer's self ratings to each of the
questions in the second and third series of uniform questions; (see at least Marchosky Fig:4B-D

Items:420,430,440,450-456 & related text)

 wherein the additional factors score is determined by influence of additional factors on the treatment of the problem of the consumer (see at least Marchosky Fig:4B-D

Items:420,430,440,450-456 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add these features of Marchosky into Iliff/Barry/Ahmed. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Iliff/Barry/Ahmed with the motivation of providing a more efficient and accurate method for diagnosing a patients condition and thus creating a more effective personal care plan for the patient. (see at least Marchosky [0025])

Marchosky does not disclose the following limitations, however Martin, as shown does:

 wherein the provider rating score is the sum of a provider's rating to a series of provider standardized questions; (see at least Martin [0068], [0069], Tables 6 A-B)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add these features of Martin into Marchosky. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Marchosky with the motivation of enabling assessment of patients' current and future health and the effectiveness of patients' healthcare regimens. (see at least Martin [0028])

Martin does not disclose the following limitations, however Kehr, as shown does:

• wherein the standardize test score is the results of standardized tests; (see at least Kehr [0120] "Among the groups to consider are defined by age, gender, occupation, disease state, medical history event, medication category, specific medication, medication dosage, patient physiological measurement, for example weight, blood pressure, pulse rate, glucose level, any antigen level, pH, pO.sub.2, temperature, EKG rhythm, pO.sub.2 saturation of the blood, hormone level, or any

Application/Control Number: 10/542,037

Art Unit: 3626

psychological measurement, for example the score based upon standardized or nonstandardized tests measuring anxiety, stress, anger, suicidal tendencies, schizophrenic relapse, rapid cycling bipolar relapse or confusion. The groups may be age, gender, race, national origin, geographic location related in combination with a medical condition. The group may be based upon the same or similar disease state or medical condition, for example congestive heart failure, hypertension, angina, circulatory inadequacy or other disease condition of the cardiopulmonary and circulatory systems.")

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add these features of Kehr into Martin. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Martin with the motivation of providing a more efficient and accurate method for time and event driven medical treatment plans and for assessing triage and medical intervention recommendations. (see at least Kehr [0006])

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iliff in view of Barry in view
of in further view of Mclirov et al. (US 5583758) (hereinafter Mclirov).

## Claim 17

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Mclroy further discloses the following limitation:

 the incremental record of activity comprises a progress report section, a goal information section and an objective information section: (see at least McIlrov Fig:19-23 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature into Iliff/Barry. One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Iliff/Barry with the motivation of providing a more efficient and effective method for diagnosing, evaluating, and utilizing patient information for developing real-time plans that effectively address patients' current conditions. (see at least McIlroy Column:2 Lines:43-58)

 Claims 18, 19, 22, & 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over liff in view of Barry in view of in further view of Aquila et al. (US 2002/0035488 A1) (hereinafter Aquila).

## Claim 18

The combination of liff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 1. Aquila further discloses the following limitation:

 the consumer is asked a final series of quality assurance questions relating to determine the consumer's satisfaction with the outcome of the treatment; (see at least Aquila Fig:4 Item: 453 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature into Iliff/Barry.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Iliff/Barry with the motivation of providing a more efficient and effective method for creating and monitoring patients' personalized care plans. (see at least Aquila [0017])

### Claim 19

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 18. Aquila further discloses the following limitation:

 the answers to the final series of quality assurance questions are utilized to assess the quality of treatment plan; (see at least Aquila Fig:4 Item:453 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature into Iliff/Barry.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Iliff/Barry with the motivation of providing a more efficient and effective method for creating and monitoring patients' personalized care plans. (see at least Aquila [0017])

## Claim 22

The combination of Iliff/Barry disclose all of the limitations of claim 21. Aquila further discloses the following limitation:

 the step of assessing the quality assurance of the at least one interventions by asking the consumer a series of final uniform questions relating to the progress and satisfaction of the consumer; (see at least Aquila Fig.4 Item:453 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature into llff/Barry.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into llff/Barry with the motivation of

Art Unit: 3626

providing a more efficient and effective method for creating and monitoring patients' personalized care plans. (see at least Aquila [0017])

Claim 24

lliff as shown, discloses the following limitations:

registering a consumer by asking a first uniform series of questions; (see at least Iliff Fig:4A

Item:202 & related text)

identifying a consumer's most serious problem from the consumer's answers to the first series of

uniform questions; (see at least Iliff Fig:10 & related text)

· identifying if the consumer is in a crisis from the consumer's answers to the first series of uniform

questions; (see at least Iliff Fig:4A Item:306)

identifying if the consumer is in an urgent situation from the consumer's answers to the first series

of uniform questions; (see at least Iliff Fig:4A Item:306)

· initiating a triage process by asking a second series of uniform questions; (see at least lliff

Claim:6)

scheduling appointments for the consumer to assess the severity of the consumer's problems to

determine a treatment plan to complete the at least one intervention recommendation; (see at

least Iliff Claims:16 & 50)

lliff does not disclose the following limitations, however Barry, as shown does:

generating at least one intervention recommendation based upon the consumer's answers to the

second series of uniform questions; (see at least Barry Fig:1 Items:12, 13 & related text)

conducting professional assessment(s) to identify recommended treatment for the consumer,

(see at least Barry Column:8 Lines:1-10, Fig:1 Items:10 & related text)

determining an assessment score for the problems identified, by asking a third series of

questions; and (see at least Barry Column:14 Table:5 & related text)

generating an incremental record of activity recording the progress the consumer has made

during the appointment (see at least Barry Column:3 Lines:41-45)

Art Unit: 3626

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of Barry into lliff.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added these features into lliff with the motivation of providing a more efficient and effective method for creating a personal care regimen that accurately addresses a patient's needs, by incorporating the patient's input. (see at least Barry Column:3 Lines:1-20)

Barry does not disclose the following limitations, however Aquila, as shown does:

 asking the consumer a final series of quality assurance questions relating to determine the consumer's satisfaction with the outcome of the treatment plan (see at least Aquila Fig:4 ltem:453 & related text)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add this feature into Iliff/Barry.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have added this feature into Iliff/Barry with the motivation of providing a more efficient and effective method for creating and monitoring patients' personalized care plans. (see at least Aquila [0017])

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rajiv J. Raj whose telephone number is 571-270-3930. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Luke Gilligan can be reached at 571-272-6770.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information
Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

Art Unit: 3626

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> >.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark

Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

Date: 06/10/08

/Rajiv J Raj/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 3626

/C Luke Gilligan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626